

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/575,146	04/07/2006	Stefan Wendt	207,436	9278
	7590 05/07/2007 CAYNE & SCHWAB ENUE, 10TH FLOOR		EXAMINER	
666 THIRD AVENU			· SUHOL, DMITRY	
NEW YORK, NY 10017			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3725	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			05/07/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)		
Office Action Summary		10/575,146	WENDT ET AL.		
		Examiner	Art Unit		
		Dmitry Suhol	3725		
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app	ears on the cover sheet	with the correspondence address		
A SH WHIC - Exter after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATES OF THE MAILING DA	ATE OF THIS COMMUI 66(a). In no event, however, may rill apply and will expire SIX (6) M cause the application to become	NICATION. a reply be timely filed ONTHS from the mailing date of this communication ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status					
2a)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on This action is FINAL . 2b) This Since this application is in condition for allowar closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final. ace except for formal m	· •	is	
Dispositi	ion of Claims				
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) 1-7 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1-7 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or				
Applicati	on Papers				
10)	The specification is objected to by the Examine The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction The oath or declaration is objected to by the Example 1.	epted or b) objected drawing(s) be held in abey on is required if the drawi	vance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). ng(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121((d).	
Priority (ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119				
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 					
2) Notice	e of References Cited (PTO-892) se of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date 4/7/2006.	Paper N	w Summary (PTO-413) lo(s)/Mail Date of Informal Patent Application 		

DETAILED ACTION

Oath/Declaration

The oath or declaration is defective. A new oath or declaration in compliance with 37 CFR 1.67(a) identifying this application by application number and filing date is required. See MPEP §§ 602.01 and 602.02.

The oath or declaration is defective because:

It does not state that the person making the oath or declaration acknowledges the duty to disclose to the Office all information known to the person to be material to patentability as defined in 37 CFR 1.56.

The current statement acknowledging the duty to disclose uses improper language "material to the examination" rather the required language of <u>material to patentability</u> and refers to CFR Section 1.56(a) rather than <u>Section 1.56</u> as required.

Specification

The abstract of the disclosure is objected to because it contains reference to the figures. Correction is required. See MPEP § 608.01(b).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 1-7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Regarding claim 1, it is not clear as to which stand are being claimed by the limitation "both stands" since there may be a plurality of vertical and horizontal stands.

Regarding claims 2 and 3, there is no antecedent basis for "the horizontal stand" and "the vertical stand" since there may be a plurality of each.

Regarding claim 3, there is no antecedent basis for "the another stand" for the reasons stated above.

Regarding claim 6, it is not clear what features are encompassed by the limitation "fitted in stress-free" as any securing means utilized to hold the connection elements in place would inherently have stresses (forces) acting upon it, since otherwise the elements would not be needed.

The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

Claim 6 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the enablement requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to enable one skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and/or use the invention.

Application/Control Number: 10/575,146 Page 4

Art Unit: 3725

Regarding claim 6, it is not clear what features are encompassed by the limitation "fitted in stress-free" as any securing means utilized to hold the connection elements in place would inherently have stresses (forces) acting upon it, since otherwise the elements would not be needed and since no such features are described in the specification.

The remainder of the action considers the claims as best understood.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claim 1-2 and 5-6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Ide Kenichi (JP 55-144309). Kenichi discloses a rolling mill having a horizontal rolling stand (VM) and a vertical stand (HM) such that the stands are connected through a member (3) having a right and left flange (4) which in turn is screwed with the horizontal and vertical stand through bolts (5). Limitations of claim 5 are shown in figures (1 and 9). As best understood limitations of claim 6 are encompassed by Kenichi.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-2 and 5-6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ide Kenichi (JP 55-144308) in view of Ide Kenichi (JP 55-144309). Kenichi '308 discloses a rolling mill having a horizontal rolling stand (VM) and a vertical stand (HM) such that the stands are connected through a members (5). Kenichi '309 teaches using connection members having a right and left flange (4) which in turn are connected by bolts (5) to the respect stands. Therefore it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art, at the time of the claimed invention, to have utilized connecting members having a right and left flange which are screwed to respective stands in the mill in Kenichi '308 for the purpose of utilizing a removable member which provides a secure connection in order to eliminate vibrations in the mill while allowing movement of the stands if desired.

Limitations of claim 5 are shown in figures (1-4). As best understood limitations of claim 6 are encompassed by Kenichi

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 3-4 and 7 would be allowable if rewritten to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, 2nd paragraph, set forth in this Office action and to include all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Dmitry Suhol whose telephone number is 571-272-4430.

The examiner can normally be reached on Mon - Friday 8:30am-5:00pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Derris Banks can be reached on (571) 272-4419. The fax phone number for

the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the

Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for

published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.

Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only.

For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should

you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a

USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information

system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Dmitry Suhol

Primary Examiner

Page 6

Art Unit 3725

ds